IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 14927 of 2005

Between:

F.Prasad, S/o.Gundaiah,
R/o.H.No.3-569, Hanuman Mandir,
Bazar Wadi, Asifabad, Adilabad District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Divisional Manager, APSRTC, Adilabad Division, Adilabad,

Adilabad Dist.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Asifabad Bus Depot, Adilabad

District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the proceeding No.M1/118(8)/98-ASF, dated 25-2-1999 as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently set aside the proceeding No.M1/118(8)/98-ASF, dated 25-2-1999 issued by the 2nd respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.RAVI MOHAN

Counsel for the Respondents: SMT.P.RAJANI REDDY

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the first respondent in not disposing of the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order of the second respondent dated 25-02-1999 under which the petitioner was imposed a punishment of withholding of annual increment for a period of two years which shall have affect on future increments.

2. It is stated that the second respondent initiated disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner for certain irregularities. However, after conducting necessary enquiry imposed the impugned punishment. Against the said order of punishment passed by the second respondent, an appeal is filed before the first respondent on 18-03-2003. But the first respondent did not pass any orders so far on the appeal of the petitioner. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Heard both sides and considered the material on record.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that the appeal filed by the petitioner before the first respondent against the order of the second respondent is not disposed of.

5. Under the above circumstances, the first respondent is directed to dispose of the appeal filed by the petitioner expeditiously, preferably, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

12th July, 2005

SKM
To

1 The Divisional Manager, APSRTC, Adilabad Division, Adilabad,

Adilabad Dist.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Asifabad Bus Depot, Adilabad

District.

3 Two CD Copies.